

MINUTES OF THE REEDSPORT CITY COUNCIL REGULAR SESSION MARCH 7, 2011
7:00 P.M. CITY HALL COUNCIL CHAMBERS.

PRESENT:

Mayor, Keith Tymchuk
Councilors Ginger Anderson, Diane Essig, Mike Macho, Bill Otis,
Bill Walker, and Kathi Wall-Meyer
City Manager, Scott Somers
Acting City Attorney, Paul Nolte

OTHERS PRESENT:

Jim Ash, Dan Bellah, Roberta Brazell, Leon K. Bridge, Darlene Chapman, Floyd Dollar, Mark Fandrey, Robin Hartman, Vera Koch, Lorelyn M. Lorence, Susan Martin, Debbie McKinney, Barbara Mills, Lori Newman, Diane Novak, Jacque Potter, Laura Smith, Jessica Terra, John L. Weaser, Kerrie Palermo, Chuck Willer

1. CALL TO ORDER/PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

2. CITIZEN COMMENTS

This time is reserved for citizens to comment on items that are not on the Agenda. Maximum of five minutes per item, please.

Susan martin, 919 Mountain View Court, Reedsport, OR 97467, gave a status update of the Library renovation project. She told the Council that the library had received \$198,800.00 in grant money and they are anticipating one more from the USDA. That grant is in the amount of \$50,000.00 for siding. She explained that the project could not be started until the last grant was received. The total balance in the fund is \$248,000.00 and the USDA grant would bring it to \$298,000.00.

Robin Hartman, 1721 SE Main Street, Roseburg, OR 97401, announced that there would be a Marine Reserve board meeting held on March 16, 2011 in Coos Bay. She urged the Council to attend.

Kerrie Palermo, 2514 Scholfield Ridge Road, Reedsport, OR 97467, reiterated the importance of the Marine reserves. She said the area was losing its large predator fish and they needed to be preserved. She encouraged the Council to support the effort and offered to be a connection between the two groups.

Barbara Mills, 200 Scholfield Ridge Road, Reedsport, OR 97467, asked the Council to really consider this request.

Chuck Willer, 1303 N 13th Street, Coos Bay, OR 97420, said he had been to all of these meetings, but this one was very important. He asked the Council to attend.

3. PRESENTATIONS, PROCLAMATIONS, AWARDS

A. Good Friends and Neighbors awards

Mayor Keith Tymchuk presented Jacque Potter and Susan Martin with the Good Friends and Neighbors award for their outstanding involvement in the community. Both recipients participate on the Parks and Beautification Committee/Tree Board and both are actively involved with the Library Advisory Board and/or the library renovation project. Donna Kenagy was also awarded the Good Friends and Neighbors award for her involvement on the Parks and Beautification Committee/Tree board. She was not present at the meeting.

4. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

Councilor Essig motioned to approve the agenda.

Councilor Macho seconded the motion.

MOTION:

that the City Council approve the Agenda.

VOTE:

A vote was taken on the motion with the following results:

AYES 7 NAYES 0

(Mayor Tymchuk, Councilors Anderson, Essig, Macho, Otis, Walker, and Wall-Meyer voted in favor of the motion.)

Mayor Tymchuk declared the motion passed unanimously.

5. PUBLIC HEARINGS

A. Shall the City Council affirm, reverse, or amend the Planning Commission interpretation of “residential as a secondary use” in the commercial (C-2) zone?

Community Development Director, Melissa Anderson, handed out a missing page of the appeal packet to the City Council.

Mayor, Keith Tymchuk opened the public hearing at approximately 7:30 pm. He read the hearing disclosure statement:

This is the time and place for a land use public hearing concerning the appeal of the Planning Commission's interpretation of the phrase "residential as a secondary use" as used in the commercial (C-2) zone.

These proceedings will be recorded and will be held in accordance with the land use procedures required by the City and the State of Oregon.

If you intend to speak this evening please come forward and enter your name on the sign-up sheet.

Prior to the hearing tonight, staff will identify the applicable substantive criteria which have also been listed in the staff report. These are the criteria the City Council must use in making its decision.

Review by the council for this appeal is confined to the record of the proceeding before the planning commission. Persons who appear this evening should refer to those portions of the record and make arguments directed toward the criteria or other criteria in the Land Use Regulations which you believe apply to the decision.

We will hear arguments concerning the record from the appellant, those in support of the appellant, those in opposition to the appellant and then neutral parties. Argument is limited to 15 minutes for the appellant and 5 minutes for all others who wish to make an argument.

Failure to raise an issue with sufficient specificity to afford the council and the parties an opportunity to respond to the issue precludes appeal to LUBA based on that issue. Issues must be raised by the close of the record. Any participant this evening may challenge the qualification of any council member to participate in this hearing. Such challenge must state facts relied upon by the party relating to a council member's bias, prejudice, personal interest, or other facts from which the party has concluded that the council member will not make a decision in an impartial manner.

Councilor Wall-Meyer declared a conflict of interest and recused herself from the voting party of the public hearing process. She did, however, reserve the right to speak as a citizen. Wall-Meyer stepped down from the dais and sat in the audience for the remainder of the public hearing.

Councilor Essig declared ex-parte contact. She read a letter to the editor from the January 19, 2011 Umpqua Post newspaper:

As a person of this community and a citizen of Reedsport, I feel that every citizen of Reedsport should know how people are treated by the committee of public meeting board (City Planning Commission).

People treat and/or listen to people with concerns or complaints of the town of Reedsport.

I personally witnessed this committee laugh at people, tell jokes while others were speaking and admiring the clothes they had on, as more important than the person speaking.

I have never been so astonished or ashamed to say I belong to this community or town as I was on Jan. 13. For my opinion, as if it matters, you need to elect or vote a new committee for this town, one that shows respect, consideration and professionalism for themselves, the town and other people as well.

We are not their joke!

Kimberly Weezer, Reedsport

The letter was written by a citizen who was present at the January 13, 2011 Planning Commission meeting. Essig discussed the article with citizens who questioned her about the behavior of the Reedsport Planning Commission. She had contacted Commissioner Tara Szalewski to ask her about the meeting as well. Essig stated that nothing in the article would affect her decision.

Councilor Otis declared that he too had read the article and that he had spoken with Steve Pepiot about the planning commission meeting. That discussion would not affect his decision.

The remaining councilors said they had read the article and it would not affect their decisions.

None of the declarations were challenged.

Melissa Anderson read the staff report. This hearing is to consider an appeal of the Planning Commission's interpretation of the Reedsport Municipal Code (RMC) 10.72.070-B.1 and -C.4, which allows "*residential quarters as a secondary use*" in the commercial (C-2) zone.

This matter began with a letter of request for clarification from Mr. Jones on November 18, 2010, to the Planning Commission relating to residential uses in the C-2 zone at the building located at the northeast corner of Fir Avenue and 4th Street, address 359, 369 and 379 Fir Avenue and 249 4th Street (Map No. 22-12W-35CA Tax Lot #9200).

Following the procedures of RMC 10.108.050 "Interpretation," staff issued a notice and scheduled a public hearing before the Reedsport Planning Commission. On December 9, 2010, the Planning Commission held a public hearing to consider a code interpretation of "*residential as a secondary use*" in the C-2 zone.

After receiving public testimony on December 9th, the Commission closed the public hearing, postponed deliberations until the next regularly scheduled meeting and requested a recommendation from staff on the matter. On January 13, 2011 the Planning Commission deliberated to a decision and made an interpretation of "*residential quarters as a secondary use*" in the C-2 zone, and a notice of decision was mailed to all participants of the hearing.

As provided for in RMC 10.104 "Appeals," a request for appeal of the Planning Commission's decision to the City Council was submitted by Mr. Jones, and a public hearing was. Accordingly, staff sent notification of this request for appeal and hearing before the Council in accordance with RMC 10.104.050 "Notification of Appeal."

In accordance with RMC 10.104.030 “Scope of Review,” this appeal to the Council shall be confined to the record of the proceedings before the Planning Commission. The following interpretation was shown by overhead projection.

Planning Commission interpreted “*residential quarters as a secondary use*” in the commercial zone as follows:

1. *Residential use above ground floor commercial is considered a secondary use.*
2. *Residential use on the ground floor may be allowed as a secondary use only if all of the following standards are met:*
 - a. *At least 65% of the total gross floor area of the ground floor shall be dedicated to commercial use;*
 - b. *Buildings facing a street (or streets if a corner lot) shall include a first story commercial use that occupies the first 25 feet of the building(s) that face a street -- residential use on the ground floor cannot face the street;*
 - c. *Residential on the ground floor must have a separate entrance from the commercial use;*
 - d. *A partition wall (with or without doorway) shall separate the residential use and commercial use; and*
 - e. *A residential use on the ground floor is allowed only in association with a commercial use that has a valid City business license. If the commercial use goes out of business, such as it is not open to the public and it is without a valid business license, then the residential cannot remain because it then is considered a primary use.*

Tymchuk referred to criteria 2e of the decision and asked Anderson how the commission defined “going out of business”.

Anderson responded that a valid business license would be required and a schedule of business hours must be visible to the public, otherwise it would be considered not in business.

Councilor Anderson asked how grandfathering should be treated in this case.

Melissa Anderson responded that grandfathering would be treated as a nonconforming use and the interpretation needed to be completed first.

Councilor Walker asked why the commission stipulated 65% commercial area, instead of 50%.

Anderson responded that the upper floor was already allowed at 100% residential and the commission felt that it was important to maintain the commercial integrity on the lower floor.

Tymchuk suggested that the 65% requirement may not always have the physical ability to work.

The appellant, Birdsell Jones, 93175 Powerline Road, Eugene, OR 97401, approached the Council. He presented a summary of his issues with the Planning Commission's decision and the code interpretation:

1. He objected to the fact that his property was singled out for interpretation. This was a violation of his constitutional rights.
2. He maintained that his building has been the same mixed use for the past 16 years and he was entitled to continue that use under the grandfather clause.
3. He denies that he was ever the applicant. He is the owner of the subject property.
4. The chairman failed to declare that he was married to and a business partner with the complainant.
5. What was simply a landlord tenant issue has become a code violation issue.
6. The Planning Director's staff report indicated that the subject property had many code violations, which was incorrect. The building was built in 1928 and did not have to meet present day building code standards.
7. He respected the decision of the Planning Commission to create residential as a secondary use, in the old town area, with a primary commercial use. However, it was equally important that the City of Reedsport respect the rights of the appellant to continue his residential or commercial use without having to comply with the City's new standards.

Ginger Anderson asked the appellant if the units to the front of the old smoke shop had always been residential.

Jones responded that they had been mixed use. He said that the smoke shop had residential use behind it. He also said that it would not conform to the 25 foot standard and he didn't think that any of the other buildings in old town would comply either.

Ginger Anderson asked what the depth of the building was. It was determined that it was 90 feet deep, but the two units in question did not run the entire depth of the building. They stopped approximately 20 feet from the back of the building.

Macho asked if those units had ever been apartments.

Jones responded that he tried to convert one of them into an apartment for the owner of the Thai Restaurant, but the City would not allow it. It is currently vacant.

Tymchuk asked Jones how he intended to resolve the situation since Jones felt that it was a tenant/landlord issue.

Jones said that one of the tenants was in violation of a pet agreement; the other tenant said that he would put a business in once this issue had been resolved between Jones and the City. Jones said that the tenant without a business would have to leave if he did not want to put one in. He assured the Council that he would monitor his tenants and make sure that they had businesses in the street fronts if they wanted to live in downstairs units. Jones said the only portion that he couldn't comply with was the building code standard.

Darlene Chapman, 2211 Winchester Avenue, Reedsport, OR 97467, said that cities with zoning laws which require commercial only in downtown areas tend to die. Chapman said the area needed to be rezoned to allow for residential. She commended Jones for his efforts in bringing small businesses to the downtown area. She suggested that his lease agreement could request the tenants to apply for a business license within 14 or 30 days and the business would need to open within 60 or 90 days.

Gary Burget, 43605 State Highway 38 unit 35, Reedsport, OR 97467, stated that he owned a building across the street from Mr. Jones's building. He said that not all of the buildings in downtown were built the same. He was concerned that if he were to move into his building and run a commercial business from the front, he would not have residential quarters that were large enough for him to live in if he had to meet the Planning Commission's requirements.

Dan Bellah, 481 Fir Avenue, Reedsport, OR 97467, said that he had to get a conditional use for his building to allow residential quarters as a secondary use.

City Manager, Scott Somers explained that the area between 3rd and 5th Street on Fir Avenue did not require a conditional use permit to allow residential as a secondary use; all other areas of the C-2 zone did, however. Somers said that staff would research when that exception began to see if Mr. Bellah would receive a refund for his conditional use permit.

Bellah said that the purpose of the downtown area was commercial use with residential in the back. This was wise for economic reasons, as well as, security reasons, to protect your business. He added that there were so many efforts taking place to revitalize the downtown that the lower portion must be kept commercial use.

Kathi Wall-Meyer, 1026 Fir Avenue, Reedsport, OR 97467, said the downtown area was beginning to see many changes and improvements. She said that the downtown needed to maintain its commercial integrity. She was concerned with garbage on the sidewalks and in the planters, as well as, a lack of parking due to the increased residential use. She did not have a problem with residents in the downtown area, but did not feel that their living quarters should be facing the main street.

The public hearing was closed at 8:55 pm.

Councilors Anderson, Macho, and Essig supported the Planning Commission's decision.

Otis agreed with the Planning Commission's decision also, but felt that it wasn't entirely fair because the building had been a mixed use in the past.

Walker agreed that the decision was a good one, but suggested adding a grandfathering clause.

Tymchuk wished to find a decision that would please the appellant and the planning commission. He agreed that it was a good decision. He wanted to preserve the integrity of the downtown area, but realized that in a difficult economy it was necessary for Jones to have residential units, as well.

Nolte suggested that the council could add a 30 day clause to the criteria. This would allow the tenants 30 days to comply with getting a business license and starting a business.

Melissa Anderson informed the Council that she wasn't sure Mr. Jones could meet the 65% requirement.

Councilor Anderson suggested that 2a be modified to read:

No more than 50% of the total gross floor area of any ground floor unit may be dedicated to residential use, and a minimum of 50% of the gross floor area of any ground floor unit shall be dedicated to commercial use

Somers suggested adding a creating clause for the code to take effect on May 1, 2011.

Melissa Anderson requested that the Council direct the mayor to sign the final order.

Councilor Otis motioned to amend the Planning Commission's interpretation of "residential quarters as a secondary use" in the commercial zone (C-2), as follows, and directed the mayor to sign the final order of decision:

1. *Residential use above ground floor commercial is considered a secondary use.*
2. *Residential use on the ground floor may be allowed as a secondary use only if all of the following standards are met:*
 - a. *No more than 50% of the total gross floor area of any ground floor unit may be dedicated to residential use, and a minimum of 50% of the gross floor area of any ground floor unit shall be dedicated to commercial use;*
 - b. *Buildings facing a street (or streets if a corner lot) shall include a first story commercial use that occupies the first 25 feet of the building(s) that face a street -- residential use on the ground floor cannot face the street;*
 - c. *Residential on the ground floor must have a separate entrance from the commercial use;*
 - d. *A partition wall (with or without doorway) shall separate the residential use and commercial use;*
 - e. *A residential use on the ground floor is allowed only in association with a commercial use that has a valid City business license. If the commercial use goes out of business, such as it is not open to the public and it is without a valid business license, then the residential cannot remain because it then is considered a primary use.*
 - f. *This interpretation shall take effect on May 1, 2011.*

Councilor Essig seconded the motion.

MOTION:

that the City Council approve the Planning Commission's interpretation of "residential quarters as a secondary use" in the commercial zone (C-2), as amended, and direct the mayor to sign the final order of decision.

VOTE:

A vote was taken on the motion with the following results:

AYES 6 NAYES 0 ABSTAIN 1

(Mayor Tymchuk, Councilors Anderson, Essig, Macho, Otis, and Walker voted in favor of the motion.)

(Councilor Wall-Meyer recused herself from the public hearing and abstained from voting.)

Mayor Tymchuk declared the motion passed unanimously.

Tymchuk requested a motion to extend the meeting to 10:00 pm.

Councilor Macho moved that the City Council extend the regular meeting of March 7, 2011 to 10:00 pm.

Councilor Walker seconded the motion.

MOTION:

that the City Council extend the regular meeting of March 7, 2011 to 10:00 pm.

VOTE:

A vote was taken on the motion with the following results:

AYES 7 NAYES 0

(Mayor Tymchuk, Councilors Anderson, Essig, Macho, Otis, Walker, and Wall-Meyer voted in favor of the motion.)

Mayor Tymchuk declared the motion passed unanimously.

6. CONSENT AGENDA

Routine items of business that require a vote but are not expected to require discussion by the Council are placed on the Consent Calendar and voted upon as one item. In the event that a Councilor or citizen requests that an item be discussed, it will be removed from the Consent Calendar and placed under General Business.

- A. Approve minutes of the work session of February 7, 2011.
- B. Approve minutes of the regular session of February 7, 2011.
- C. Motion to approve the Consent Calendar.

Councilor Macho motioned to approve the agenda as presented.

Councilor Anderson seconded the motion.

MOTION:

that the City Council approve the Agenda as presented.

VOTE:

A vote was taken on the motion with the following results:

AYES 7 NAYES 0

(Mayor Tymchuk, Councilors Anderson, Essig, Macho, Otis, Walker, and Wall-Meyer voted in favor of the motion.)

Mayor Tymchuk declared the motion passed unanimously.

7. GENERAL BUSINESS

- A. Shall the City Council approve a resolution for a Transportation and Growth Management Grant application?

Melissa Anderson requested a resolution of support on a grant application to the Oregon Transportation and Growth Management (TGM) Program to complete the Waterfront and Downtown Plan. The Oregon Transportation and Growth Management Program (TGM) offers grants to assist local communities with land use and transportation planning. The City has begun Phase I of the Plan, and a TGM grant could be used to complete the final phase of the Waterfront and Downtown Plan.

One of the key areas that could serve tourism-oriented commercial activity is the Umpqua Riverfront and Old Town/Downtown area of Reedsport. The primary advantage of the area as a development site is the river frontage and its historic location as the Old Town of Reedsport. However, the Reedsport Urban Renewal Report describes the area between the river and Highway 38 in Old Town as “a mix of incompatible land uses, with a large amount of vacant and underutilized properties.” In addition, the existing zoning and implementing code is inconsistent with revitalizing the area for tourist-oriented commercial activities. The Reedsport

Transportation System Plan also recommends Highway 38 for an access management plan and for a Special Transportation Area (STA) designation. Furthermore, the downtown area was built on fill and surrounded by a levy, and the riverfront properties are located in the floodplain.

All of these factors create opportunities and challenges for redevelopment. Therefore, a plan is needed for the Old Town of Reedsport before appropriate zoning and development standards can be adopted and the transportation network may be enhanced within this area of the City. A TGM grant can fund the completion of the Waterfront and Downtown Plan, which will be used to direct appropriate land uses and design standards for development within a multi-modal transportation network to promote the redevelopment and economic viability of Old Town Reedsport.

The Transportation and Growth Management Program requires an 11% match. The total budget for the Plan is \$115,000 and the City's contribution is \$12,650 in cash or in-kind materials or services to meet the required match amount. It is anticipated this will come from the urban renewal district fund upon approval from the urban renewal district board when the 2011-2012 budgets are approved.

Tymchuk asked Anderson what amount of the matching funds could be of in-kind value.

Anderson responded that there was no limit to the in-kind contributions.

Councilor Anderson motioned to approve the resolution of support for a Transportation and Growth Management Grant application.

Councilor Walker seconded the motion.

MOTION:

that the City Council approve a resolution of support for a Transportation and Growth Management Grant application.

VOTE:

A vote was taken on the motion with the following results:

AYES 7 NAYES 0

(Mayor Tymchuk, Councilors Anderson, Essig, Macho, Otis, Walker, and Wall-Meyer voted in favor of the motion.)

Mayor Tymchuk declared the motion passed unanimously.

B. Adopt 2011-2012 City Council Goals and Objectives Work Plan.

This item was deferred to the April 4, 2011 City Council meeting.

- C. Shall the City Council adopt a resolution waiving the permit requirements for a city-wide garage sale?

City Manager, Scott Somers explained that there has been some discussion in the past few months about the city sponsoring a cleanup effort near or around Memorial Day weekend in connection with the regularly scheduled Western Douglas County Clean up event.

Holding a garage sale in Reedsport normally requires one to acquire a city-issued permit and the payment of a fee. Section 7.16.040 allows for a waiver of permit requirements upon passage of a Resolution by the Council.

Councilor Walker motioned to adopt a resolution waiving the permit requirements for a city-wide garage sale.

Councilor Macho seconded the motion.

MOTION:

that the City Council adopt a resolution waiving the permit requirements for a city-wide garage sale.

VOTE:

A vote was taken on the motion with the following results:

AYES 7 NAYES 0

(Mayor Tymchuk, Councilors Anderson, Essig, Macho, Otis, Walker, and Wall-Meyer voted in favor of the motion.)

Mayor Tymchuk declared the motion passed unanimously.

- D. Shall the City Council approve a projected USDA construction and repayment budget for the Downtown Stormwater Project?

At the September 14, 2009 Council meeting, the City Council approved the project to re-route storm water runoff generated in the downtown area from the existing Elm Street Storm Water pump station and authorized staff to secure funding. A preliminary engineering report was prepared by Dyer Partnership Engineers and at the December 21, 2009 Council meeting, the City Council unanimously committed to funding the Downtown Storm water Project.

Currently an application is pending with USDA Rural Development for funding of the \$1.95 million dollar project. In the review process, USDA is requesting Council approve a projected

budget for the year of construction and also for the first year of debt repayment. This is all projected information, but the USDA needs a commitment that the City will have adequate funds for debt repayment. A projected budget is provided with this letter. The USDA loan interest rate for the repayment is unknown at this time, but a debt repayment estimate has been made at 4.0% interest rate for \$1,950,000 at 40 years and yearly debt payment would be approximately \$108,000 per year.

The Stormwater Utility fees generate about \$73,000 per year which is used for operations and maintenance of the stormwater pump stations and lines. To repay a loan for the Downtown Storm water project, additional fees will be required. The USDA projected budget includes \$60,000 from Urban Renewal taxes, if approved by the Urban Renewal District Agency, to be used as partial repayment for the loan. The remaining yearly amount of \$48,440 will need funding through an increase in stormwater fees, an anticipated increase of \$1.98, bring the monthly total to approximately \$4.98 per residential EDU. Until the project is completed, actual cost will not be known, but this is the closet estimate available at this time.

At some point, the Council may wish to re-address the storm water fee adjustment made for commercial and industrial accounts. Currently, commercial and industrial properties are charged 50% of the cost allocated to residential properties. If commercial accounts were charged the same charge per EDU an estimated \$23,000 could be generate annually. If this were to occur, rates per EDU would only need to increase by \$1.04.

An estimated \$48,440 of Stormwater fees needs to be dedicated yearly for debt repayment of the Downtown Stormwater Project.

Councilor Macho motioned to approve a funding plan for the Downtown Stormwater Project, the projected budget for USDA construction and debt repayment as presented.

Councilor Wall-Meyer seconded the motion.

MOTION:

that the City Council approve a funding plan for the Downtown Stormwater Project, the projected budget for USDA construction and debt repayment as presented.

VOTE:

A vote was taken on the motion with the following results:

AYES 7 NAYES 0

(Mayor Tymchuk, Councilors Anderson, Essig, Macho, Otis, Walker, and Wall-Meyer voted in favor of the motion.)

Mayor Tymchuk declared the motion passed unanimously.

8. MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS
(Mayor, Councilors, City Manager, City Attorney)

Tymchuk explained that there had been some criticism about equalizing and making the current sewer rates fairer among users. He shared information regarding this matter. It was determined that the average metered user, last year, only used 413 cubic feet of water each month. The ad hoc utility committee suggested lowering the ERU to 500 cubic feet, but Council actually raised the number to 625 cubic feet. He said the average metered user last year only paid about \$23.00 compared to the flat rate user, who paid \$57.00 per month for sewer use. Tymchuk felt that the Council had established a more equitable rate. He concluded that the average single family home was still only paying about \$48.00 per month compared to the flat rate of \$68.25.

Scott Somers told the commission that House Bill 2069 would allow the City to extend the term of the DEQ loan for the wastewater treatment plant project from 25 years to 30 years. This could amount to a \$2.00, \$3.00, or \$4.00 savings in future rate increases. He added that the City is still trying to keep Gardiner online for a potential \$5.00-\$7.00 in savings of future rate increases. He said that the City was trying to mitigate future increases.

EXECUTIVE SESSION OF THE REEDSPORT CITY COUNCIL MARCH 7, 2011 CITY HALL COUNCIL CHAMBERS.

PRESENT: Mayor Keith Tymchuk
Councilors Kathi Wall-Meyer, Mike Macho, Bill Otis, Bill Walker, Ginger Anderson, and Diane Essig
City Manager Scott Somers

OTHERS PRESENT: Vera Koch

Executive Session:

Pursuant to ORS 192.660(2)(d) – To conduct deliberations with persons designated by the governing body to carry on labor negotiations.

9. ADJOURN

The meeting was adjourned at 10:00 pm.

Mayor Keith Tymchuk

ATTEST: